

## UNITED STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

112	
111	

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED IN	/ENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/318,15	9 05/25/99	RHODES		Н	07653/020002
-		MM42/1025	Ü		EXAMINER
	CHARDSON PC	1 11 3 1 day 2 de les des las		MUNSO	N, G
45 ROCKEF NEW YORK	ELLER PLAZA NY 10111			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2811	Ĺ
				DATE MAILED:	10/25/99

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

Application No.	
218	154

Applicant(s)

H. RHODES

Office Action Cummons	
Office Action Summary	Examiner G, Munson Group Art Unit 2811
—The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address—
Period for Reply	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TTO EXPIRE ONE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE
from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and I not period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by defa	R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. But, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication . tatute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status ·	
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on	•
☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance exce accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1	ept for formal matters, <b>prosecution as to the merits is closed</b> in 935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	
X Claim(s) 43 − 67	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
□ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
☐ Claim(s)————	is/are rejected.
☐ Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
Claim(s) 43 − 67	
☑ Claim(s) 43-67  Application Papers	are subject to restriction or election requirement.
Application Papers	requirement.
	requirement. wing Review, PTO-948.
Application Papers  ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drav	requirement. wing Review, PTO-948 is □ approved □ disapproved.
Application Papers  ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drav ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	requirement. wing Review, PTO-948 is □ approved □ disapproved.
Application Papers  See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drav  The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are ob	requirement.  wing Review, PTO-948 is □ approved □ disapproved.  jected to by the Examiner.
Application Papers  ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drav ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are ob ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	requirement.  wing Review, PTO-948 is □ approved □ disapproved.  jected to by the Examiner.
Application Papers  See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drav The proposed drawing correction, filed onis/are ob The drawing(s) filed on is/are ob The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	requirement.  wing Review, PTO-948.  is approved disapproved.  jected to by the Examiner.  r.  y under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d).
Application Papers  See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are ob The drawing(s) filed on is/are ob The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies	requirement.  wing Review, PTO-948.  is approved disapproved.  jected to by the Examiner.  r.  r.  r under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d).  of the priority documents have been
Application Papers  See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dravent The proposed drawing correction, filed on	requirement.  wing Review, PTO-948.  is approved disapproved.  jected to by the Examiner.  r.  r.  r under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d).  of the priority documents have been  mber)  International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).
Application Papers  See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dravent The proposed drawing correction, filed on	requirement.  wing Review, PTO-948.  is approved disapproved.  jected to by the Examiner.  r.  r.  r under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d).  of the priority documents have been  mber)  International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).
Application Papers  See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw The proposed drawing correction, filed on	requirement.  wing Review, PTO-948.  is approved disapproved.  jected to by the Examiner.  r.  / under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d).  of the priority documents have been  mber)  International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).
Application Papers  See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are ob. The drawing(s) filed on is/are ob. The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies received received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nur received in this national stage application from the *Certified copies not received:	requirement.  wing Review, PTO-948.  is approved disapproved.  jected to by the Examiner.  r.  / under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d).  of the priority documents have been  mber)  International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).

Application/Control Number: 09/318,159

Art Unit: 2811

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of

Page 2

the claimed invention:

I. Figure 9; claims 46, 53.

II. Figure 10; claims 47, 61

III. No figure; claim 48.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for

prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held

to be allowable. Currently, 43-45 and 49-52 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the

species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable

thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that

all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of

claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the

limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after

the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP

§ 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct,

applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to

be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the

Art Unit: 2811

October 21, 1999

examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

GENE M. MUNSON EXAMINER GROUP ART UNIT 2811

G.Munson/dc